UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,855	11/24/2006	Terence Toal	1747	7204
	7590 03/31/200 IUCKETT DRAUDT	EXAMINER		
SCHUBERTSTR. 15A			RUDAWITZ, JOSHUA I	
WUPPERTAL, 42289 GERMANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/597,855	TOAL, TERENCE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed.</li> </ul>	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction all Application Papers	ndrawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan brrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application ·			

Art Unit: 3652

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poten et al. (US5,249,907).

Poten et al. (Poten) discloses a carriage with rollers able to receive a defective wheel; a belt having a first end fixedly and permanently connected to a first end of the carriage and have a second end detachably connected to a second end of the carriage; a ramp having a first end detachably connected to the first end of the carriage and a second end remote from the carriage; the belt has a second securing position, where it secures the wheel by going from the first end to the second end; the second end of the belt is adapted to be hooked to the carriage; the belt has an adjustable length; the ramp and the carriage are separate parts; the ramp is adapted to be hooked to the carriage has an axle and the ramp is hooked onto the axle; and the carriage has four rollers.

Poten fails to disclose the belts first position where the belt extends away from the first end of the carriage past the second end of the ramp, and the belt extends underneath or on top of the ramp; however, this would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, as it

Art Unit: 3652

has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art, in this case the belt is just draped in the opposite direction as the second position.

Poten et al. discloses the bottom part is made of sheet metal, however fails to disclose the top part is made of plastic. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use plastic for the top part, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/28/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. With regards to the applicant's argument that the belt of Poten is not fixedly attached to the carriage where the ramp is located, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner notes that the belt is connected via intervening structure, and further, is fixedly attached otherwise the tension would not hold the wheel.

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3652

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ whose telephone number is (571)272-7856. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-7097. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. I. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3652 /Saúl J. Rodríguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3652